



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,117		06/24/2003	Nathan Pyles	JHT-001USP	2202
22224	7590	12/13/2004		EXAMINER	
PATRICIA	SMITH	I KING	NGUYEN, TAM M		
SUITE 22 222 NORTH	I MIDVA	LE BOULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MADISON, WI 537055072				3764	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/603,117	PYLES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tam Nguyen	3764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	,						
	action is non-final.						
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-20 and 23-31</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) <u>10,11,21,22,32 and 33</u> is/are objecte 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-13-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/603,117 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the "reeling portion 522" disclosed on Page 6, line 12 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Street (4,625,962).

- 2. As to claims 1-5, Street discloses a dual-function treading exerciser comprising a lower body exerciser having a treading platform and a continuous tread (20), and an upper body exerciser (C) having a frame (30) and a movable handle assembly, joined to a front portion of the frame, that includes a pair of pulling devices (80,82) and a rotary shaft (42) wherein the pulling devices each include a pulley (84), a pull cord (70), a handgrip (74) and a biasing unit (92) as substantially claimed (see Figs. 1 & 2).
- 3. As to claims 6-8, Strreet discloses an exercise device as described above (see discussion of claims 1-5). Street further discloses that the movable handle assembly is disposed substantially higher than the fixed handles (14) and the biasing unit includes a spiral spring member (92) that is fastened to a housing (94) at one end and to a respective pulley (84) at the other end and biased to rotate in a predetermined direction (see Fig. 1).
- 4. As to claims 23-30, Street discloses an exercise device as substantially claimed (see discussion of claims 1-8). Street further discloses that the pulling

Art Unit: 3764

devices operate independently of one another via the one-way clutch (86) (see Abstract and Fig. 2).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Street in view of Lee et al. (6,123,649).

5. As to claims 12-19, Street discloses an exercise device as substantially claimed (see discussion of claims 1-8). Street does not disclose that the pulling device includes a chamber wherein the pulley is disposed. Lee et al disclose a similar exercise device having a pair of pulleys (140) that are disposed within a chamber (130) (see Figs. 6-10). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to place each of Street's pulleys into a chamber/housing since the practice of placing movable parts into a protective housing is well known in the art to protect the components from dirt and dust and the user from the movable parts.

Claims 9, 20 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Street in view of Wang et al. (6,599,223).

6. As to claims 9, 20 and 31, Street discloses an exercise device as described above (see discussion of claims 1 and 23). Street further discloses a

Art Unit: 3764

flywheel assembly (40) mounted on the shaft, a unidirectional bearing (86) disposed between the shaft and each pulley and an adjustable resistance device (50) disposed adjacent to the flywheel to provide resistance to the flywheel (see Col. 2, line 64-Col. 3, line 9, Col. 3, lines 20-33 and Fig. 2). Street does not disclose that the adjustable resistance device is magnetic. Wang et al. disclose a similar exercise device having pulleys that rotate a flywheel that includes an adjustable magnetic resistance device (28). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to substitute Street's weight-strap resistance means with Wang's magnetic resistance means since the latter is considered to be functionally equivalent in the exercise art in providing an adjustable resistance and the latter device is more compact for ease of storage and transport of the overall device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 10, 11, 21, 22, 32 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Grinblat '918, Stropkay '077, Schaber '952 and Norton '516 each disclose treading exercisers having both lower and upper body exercisers wherein the upper body exerciser includes pulling devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,117

Art Unit: 3764

Wu et al. '520, Drapeau '677 and Wang et al. '069 each disclose exercise

apparatuses having pulling devices and a flywheel having a magnetic resistance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Tam Nguyen whose telephone number is

703-305-0784. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

December 7, 2004

\*\*\*

JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6

12/9/04